### SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUILDING FUND (MEASURE D)
FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

**JUNE 30, 2016** 

### SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

### BUILDING FUND (MEASURE D) FINANCIAL AUDIT

**JUNE 30, 2016** 

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016**

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Building Fund (Measure D)	
Balance Sheet	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	13
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	16

17



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Governing Board and Facilities Oversight and Advisory Committee San Ramon Valley Unified School District Danville, California

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the San Ramon Valley Unified School District's (the District), Building Fund (Measure D), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (Measure D) of the San Ramon Valley Unified School District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure D, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of San Ramon Valley Unified School District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varrinek, Time, Day & Co., LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 10, 2017, on our consideration of the District's Building Fund (Measure D) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Building Fund (Measure D) internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Pleasanton, California

# **BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2016**

ACCEPTEC	
ASSETS	
Cash and investments	\$ 138,583,966
Accounts receivable	75
Due from other funds	252,836
Total Assets	\$ 138,836,877
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
Liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 2,754,457
Total Liabilities	2,754,457
Fund Balance:	
Restricted	136,082,420
<b>Total Fund Balance</b>	136,082,420
Total Liabilities and	
Fund Balance	\$ 138,836,877

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

REVENUES	
Interest income	\$ 953,317
<b>Total Revenues</b>	953,317
EXPENDITURES	
Current	
Salaries and benefits	1,362,987
Materials and supplies	350,554
Noncapitalized equipment	673,949
Maintenance	4,581
Other insurance	11,463
Services and other operating expenditures	488,003
Capital outlay	
Land and improvements	257,752
Building and improvements to buildings	32,454,966
Equipment and supplies	199,914_
Total Expenditures	35,804,169
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES	
(UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(34,850,852)
Other Financing Sources/(uses):	·
Transfers in	816,151
Net Financing Sources/(Uses)	816,151
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(34,034,701)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	170,117,121
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ 136,082,420

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the San Ramon Unified School District's (the District) Building Fund (Measure D) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The District Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

### **Financial Reporting Entity**

The financial statements include only the Building Fund of the San Ramon Unified School District used to account for Measure D projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Measure D. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Building Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

### **Basis of Accounting**

The Building Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### **Encumbrances**

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

### **Fund Balance - Building Fund (Measure D)**

As of June 30, 2016, the fund balance is classified as follows:

**Restricted** - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

### **Spending Order Policy**

When expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### **Change in Accounting Principles**

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to the fair value measurements. The definition of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this Statement is to identify – in context of the current governmental financial reporting environment – the hierarchy of the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

statements of state and local government entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and non-authoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP.

This Statement supersedes Statement No.55, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 79, Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. An external investment pool qualifies for that reporting if it meets all of the applicable criteria established in this Statement. The specific criteria address (1) how the external investment pool transacts with participants; (2) requirements for portfolio maturity, quality, diversification, and liquidity; and (3) calculation and requirements of a shadow price. Significant noncompliance prevents the external investment pool from measuring all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. Professional judgment is required to determine if instances of noncompliance with the criteria established by this Statement during the reporting period, individually or in the aggregate, were significant.

If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria established by this Statement, that pool should apply the provisions in paragraph 16 of Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, as amended. If an external investment pool meets the criteria in this Statement and measures all of its investments at amortized cost, the pool's participants also should measure their investments in that external investment pool at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at fair value, as provided in paragraph 11 of Statement No. 31, as amended.

This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures for both the qualifying external investment pools and their participants include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### **NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS**

### **Policies and Practices**

The District is authorized under *California Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

### **Investment in County Treasury**

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fairly value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

#### **General Authorizations**

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Investment Pool. The District maintains a Building Fund (Measure D) investment of \$138,583,966 with the County Investment Pool. The fair value of this investment is approximately \$138,930,426 with an average maturity of 227 days.

#### **Fair Value Measurements**

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Contra Costa County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

### NOTE 3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

Other local \$ 75

### **NOTE 4 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS**

### Interfund Receivables (Due from) and Payables (Due To)

At June 30, 2016, Measure D resources had \$252,836 due from the General Fund for county cash interest.

### **Operating transfers**

At June 30, 2016, the County School Facilities Fund transferred \$816,151 to Measure D resources for projects.

### **NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE**

Accounts payable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

Vendor payables \$ 2,754,457

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

### **NOTE 6 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

As of June 30, 2016, the Building Fund (Measure D) had the following commitments with respect to unfinished projects:

	Remaining		Expected
	Construction		Date of
Capital Project	Commitment		Completion
Bella Vista Elementary School (new school)	\$	396,208	May-16
Dougherty Valley High School-Classroom Bldg.		204,151	October-15
San Ramon Valley High School-Classroom		1,553,946	September-16
San Ramon Valley High School-Classroom		1,356,680	November-15
Stone Valley Middle School		1,309,839	October-15
Stone Valley Middle School		493,771	October-15
Twin Creeks Elementary School-Admin. Bldg. Mod.		60,294.75	
Total:	\$	5,374,889	

### Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District and Measure D resources at June 30, 2016.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Facilities Oversight and Advisory Committee San Ramon Valley Unified School District Danville, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the San Ramon Valley Unified School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure D), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 10, 2017.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure D resources, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Building Fund (Measure D) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Pleasanton, California January 10, 2017

Varrinek, Time, Day & Co., LLP

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

# FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

# SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.

### SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUILDING FUND (MEASURE D)
PERFORMANCE AUDIT

**JUNE 30, 2016** 

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Independent Auditors' Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	3
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	4
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	5



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Facilities Oversight and Advisory Committee San Ramon Valley Unified School District Danville, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the San Ramon Valley Unified School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure D) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Building Fund (Measure D) funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Pleasanton, California

Varrinek, Time, Day & Co., LLP

January 10, 2017

### **JUNE 30, 2016**

#### **AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE**

The General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2012 (Series 2013 & Series 2015) are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by Board of Education of the District on January 29, 2013 (the Resolution).

The District received authorization at an election held on November 6, 2012, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$260,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2012 Authorization). The bonds represent the first and second series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2012 Authorization.

#### **PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE**

The Bonds are being issued to finance the improvement of local elementary, middle and high school classrooms, labs and learning facilities by adding classrooms to prevent school overcrowding; upgrading fire, security and earthquake safety; updating science labs, and instructional technology infrastructure for 21<sup>st</sup>-century learning; improving energy efficiency; and renovating, constructing and equipping schools, facilities and classrooms.

#### **AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT**

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

### **JUNE 30, 2016**

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT**

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure D.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund were in support of Measure D and not for District general administration or operations.

### **SCOPE OF THE AUDIT**

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2016, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

### PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1 2015 through June 30, 2016, for the Building Fund (Measure D). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure D as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included 40 transactions totaling \$18,034,359. This represents 50.4 percent of the total expenditures of \$35,804,169.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund (Measure D) were expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

### **CONCLUSION**

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (Measure D) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

# **SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016**

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.